SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, OCTOBER 17, 2002 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S109520

A095878 First Appellate District,

Division Four

T. (ELDRIDGE), IN RE

Time extended to grant or deny review

to and including November 27, 2002.

S024833

PEOPLE v. FARLEY (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

to December 16, 2002 to file appellant's reply brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only one further extension totaling 60 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S030956

PEOPLE v. RAMOS (WILLIAM J.)

Extension of time granted

to November 18, 2002 to file appellant's reply brief. Extension is granted based upon unforeseen circumstances affecting counsel's assisting entity. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S033975

PEOPLE v. COMBS (MICHAEL STEVEN)

Extension of time granted

to December 10, 2002 to file appellant's reply brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 160 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon counsel Richard C. Gilman's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by May 15, 2003.

PEOPLE v. ROBINSON (JAMES)

Extension of time granted

to December 16, 2002 to file respondent's

brief.

S044677

PEOPLE v. HORNING (DANNY RAY)

Extension of time granted

to December 10, 2002 to file appellant's

opening brief.

S045504

PEOPLE v. PANAH (HOOMAN A.)

Extension of time granted

to December 16, 2002 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling 70 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Ana R. Duarte's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by February 28, 2003.

S046816

PEOPLE v. THORNTON (MARK S.)

Extension of time granted

to December 6, 2002 to file appellant's

opening brief.

S053228

PEOPLE v. ALEXANDER (ANDRE STEPHEN)

Extension of time granted

to December 10, 2002 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling 240 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

PEOPLE v. FAMALARO (JOHN J.) Extension of time granted

to December 16, 2002 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to take all steps necessary to meet this schedule

S064769

PEOPLE v. HAWTHORNE (CARLOS A.) Extension of time granted

to December 16, 2002 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling 180 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S065877

PEOPLE v. LOPEZ, SERNA & TRUJEQUE Extension of time granted

to December 16, 2002 to appellant SERNA to request correction of the record.

S090636

CATLIN (STEVEN D.) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to November 15, 2002 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. The court anticipates that after that date, no further extension will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

BARNETT (LEE MAX) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to November 18, 2002 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. The court anticipates that after that date, only one further extension totaling 30 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S102965

E027589 Fourth Appellate District, Division Two PEOPLE v. HOLMES

Extension of time granted

to November 18, 2002 to file respondent's answer brief on the merits.

S104807

COFFMAN (CYNTHIA L.) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to November 15, 2002 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S104995

C035564 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. TONEY Extension of time granted

to November 20, 2002 to file Appellant's Reply Brief on the Merits.

GUTIERREZ (ISAAC) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to November 18, 2002 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only one further extension totaling 30 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Raquel M. Gonzalez's representation that she anticipates filing that document by December 14, 2002.

S107167

G025767 Fourth Appellate District, Division Three PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ et al. Extension of time granted

On application of appellant JAIME PANO and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including November 12, 2002.

PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ et al. Extension of time granted

On application of appellant ALEJANDRO P. GONZALEZ and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including November 12, 2002.

S108308

B146516 Second Appellate District, Division One ROSEN v. STATE FARM GENERAL INSURANCE Extension of time granted

to October 28, 2002 for appellant to file the opening brief on the merits. No further extensions of time will be granted.

S108291

B146786 Second Appellate District, Division Seven PEOPLE v. SMITH Counsel appointment order filed

Tracy Dressner is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending

in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order

S104952

H022122 Sixth Appellate District

TOPSAIL COURT HOMEOWNERS v. COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ (SOQUEL CREEK WATER) Order filed

Appellant's motion for leave to file a brief in response to respondent's intended supplemental brief is denied as premature, without prejudice to a renewed application.

S016924

PEOPLE v. MICHAELS (KURT)

Order filed

Court's 150 day statement.

S018634

PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ (ISAAC)

Order filed

Court's 150-day statement.

S062310

SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS FOR NONPAYMENT OF DUES – 1997 Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, it is ordered that the suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on July 8, 1997, effective September 21, 1997, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the name of **Larry R. McIndoo**.

S081555

SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS FOR NONPAYMENT OF DUES – 1999 Order filed

> Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on

September 10, 1999, effective September 27, 1999, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the names of:

Jeffrey Peter Kuklin H. Roger McPike Owen A. Traine.

S090057

RULE 962 SUSPENSION Order filed

The suspension of **Daniel Earl Cooper** pursuant to our order filed on September 13, 2002, is hereby terminated. This order is final forthwith.

S099547

SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS FOR NONPAYMENT OF DUES – 2001 Order filed

> Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on August 17, 2001, effective September 1, 2001, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the names of:

> > Joe Janulewicz Christina McDonald Jack Megarity III

S108829

SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS FOR NONPAYMENT OF DUES – 2002 Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on August 16, 2002, effective September 4, 2002, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the name of **Randall Sicard Reis**.

DONALDSON ON DISICIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **REBECCA DONALDSON**, State Bar No. 135023, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be staved, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for 90 days and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on May 31, 2002. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she shall remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that respondent perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S109211

DOWELL ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **DAVID REED DOWELL**, **State Bar No. 55145**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he

be actually suspended for 60 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed June 14, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within two years after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code, section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code, section 6140.7.

Bar Misc. 4186

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:
(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)